| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--------------|
| | 10/771,643 | WAHLSTROM E | ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Mark W. Bockelman | 3766 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | | |
| (1) Mark W. Bockelman. | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Michael Soldner</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 7 January 2005 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | plicant's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Last Office action | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | |
| 51, 61 | | | • |
| Prior art documents discussed: Last Office action | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Proposed examiner's amendment accepted | NERAL NATURE OF WHAT W | /AS DISCUSSED: | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumi | The examiner will provide a wate record of the substance of t | ritten summary of the | ne substance |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| MulBull | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic | cant/Applicant's Representative | Signature – if appro | opriate) |